



## Procedure for Evaluating Visiting Overseas Conformation Judges

Evaluation of a visiting overseas Judge is to be conducted by an appointed ANKC Ltd Conformation Judge representing a State Member Body from the State in which the show is being conducted. Each visiting overseas Judge must be evaluated once, either the first time they judge in Australia, or in the event they have previously judged and not been evaluated, then next time they judge in Australia.

The State Member Body is responsible for appointing the evaluating ANKC Ltd Judge for the show, not the Club. The evaluating Judge shall be an Accredited Assessor and preferably shall be an All Breeds Judge. The Evaluator must not be entered in the show, nor handle a dog under the Judge.

### **Procedure**

1. Contracting Club to make Judge aware of procedures prior to appointment.
2. Prior to the commencement of the show the Evaluator is to introduce themselves to the Secretary of the show informing them of the purpose of their visit and ask for an introduction to the Judge prior to judging to ensure the Judge fully understands ANKC Ltd judging/showing procedures stressing overseas persons judging in Australia must judge in accordance with Australian standards and recognised principals and procedures.
  - 2.1 Inform the judge there is a requirement that all dogs are to be physically examined.
  - 2.2 Inform the Judge the exhibition of docked dogs is legal and cannot be taken into consideration when placing dogs.
  - 2.3 A Judge must be able to verbally communicate with exhibitors to a degree that their directions and decisions are clearly understood or they must have a competent interpreter.
3. Once judging commences observe the Judge in the ring for enough classes to evaluate their adherence and understanding of ANKC Ltd procedures. If a problem is observed, discretely make the Judge aware and have them correct the matter.
4. Complete the evaluation form and discuss with the Judge your observations of their judging upon completion their day's assignment.
5. Give the Judge a copy of the evaluation form and have the Judge sign for its receipt on the original. Where a Judge is judging several fixtures on the same or consecutive days, the copy should be supplied at the conclusion of the final fixture.
6. Forward the original form to the State Member Body.
7. State Member Body to forward the completed forms to the ANKC Ltd Administrator.
8. Any adverse evaluation to be forwarded to the Overseas Judges Approval Committee for consideration whether it should be recorded against the Judge's record for use in future if application for approval to judge is received.
9. In severe cases the Judge's Controlling Body is to be notified for their review and possible action.



# AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL KENNEL COUNCIL LTD

## VISITING OVERSEAS JUDGES EVALUATION FORM

Judge's Name ..... Country of Residence .....

Judge's email address .....

Name of Fixture ..... Date .....

ANKC Ltd Appointed Evaluator .....

Breed(s) Observed ..... No of Dogs Observed .....

Evaluation	<input type="checkbox"/> Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Meets Expectations	<input type="checkbox"/> Does Not meet Expectations
Quality of Entry	<input type="checkbox"/> Above Average	<input type="checkbox"/> Average	<input type="checkbox"/> Below Average

### Ring Procedure/General Skills

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Gave clear directions                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Understood Challenge/BOB/ RUBOB procedure        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Maintained expected time schedule           | <input type="checkbox"/> Appeared to judge breeds to the ANKC Standards   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Professional Conduct/ Demeanour             | <input type="checkbox"/> Excused dogs he/she felt were lame or aggressive |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Procedure was correct for Group/BIS Judging | <input type="checkbox"/> Examined exhibits appropriately for breed.       |

All comments are offered to provide feedback to the judge and the ANKC. Each evaluation stands on its own merit; a totally favourable evaluation is not necessarily an overall endorsement of a judge's ability; nor does an evaluation offering effective criticism imply overall deficiency

### Comments from the ANKC Ltd Representative

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

Signed .....Date .....  
(ANKC Ltd Representative)

Received ..... (signature of judge).

Note that your signature does not signify agreement with rating and you may state your reasons for not being in agreement in writing above. If for any reason there is no signature a copy will be mailed to the judge.