

# **PROCEDURE FOR**

# **REVIEWING**

# **EXISTING RULES AND DOCUMENTS**

Adopted October 2012 To assist with what at times is a complex process, the following procedure is to be followed for all Rule and Document reviews.

- Step 1. ANKC Ltd sends to all Directors the rules for review in, MS Word format (not PDF) along with the procedure that must be followed.
- Step 2. A closing date is set for each state to submit their proposed changes and no late submissions will be accepted.
- Step 3. The proposed changes must be in the format as described below.
- Step 4. On or before the closing date the state sends their submission back the ANKC Ltd in both MS Word and PDF format.
- Step 5. Changes shall be recorded as follows;

Black - Current Rules

Blue - Repeat existing rule (Qld - place your state at the beginning)

Blue Unlined - proposed additions

Blue Strikthrough - proposed deletions

Red - Rationale

Blue - and shaded in yellow State has accepted the proposal

- Step 6. When the ANKC Ltd has received the proposed changes from each state they combine these into one document. Check that each change begins with the state making the submission eg. (Vic) or (WA).
- Step 7. When the combined document has been collated it is sent to each state (in MS Word and PDF format) for the state to discuss and vote on. This will establish a single master document to which everyone is referring.
- Step 8. As a state decides which option they are voting to adopt they can highlight it and make any notes under 'comments' that they wish their delegate to raise during the ANKC Ltd face to face review meeting.
- Step 9. The scribe at the ANKC Ltd face to face review meeting can record the decisions in a similar manner.

Examples are shown on the following pages.

**EXAMPLE 1:** An individual State submission of proposed changes as described in Step 4.

#### **CHAPTER 1. GENERAL REGULATIONS.**

Wherever the word 'dog" is used in these Regulations it includes both sexes.

# Section 1. Purpose.

1.1.1 The purpose of non competitive Herding Tests is to offer herding breed owners a standardised gauge by which a dog's basic instinct and trainability are measured.

#### (WA) Proposed Changes 1.1.1

1.1.1 The purpose of non competitive Herding Tests is to offer <u>ANKC Ltd</u> herding breed owners a standardised gauge by which a dog's basic instinct and trainability are measured.

#### (WA) Rationale 1.1.1

To further substantiate the Introduction's explanation of the Programs Purpose

1.1.2 The purpose of the competitive Herding Trial program is to preserve and develop the herding skills inherent in the herding breeds and to demonstrate that they can perform the useful function for which they were originally bred. Although Herding Trials are artificial simulations of pastoral or farm situations, they are standardised Tests to measure and develop the characteristics of the Herding breeds.

### (WA) Proposed Changes 1.1.2

1.1.2 The purpose of the competitive Herding Trial program is to preserve and develop the herding skills inherent in the ANKC Ltd herding breeds and to demonstrate that they can perform the useful function for which they were originally bred. The layout of the three courses, when judged as appropriate, set three very different courses. One, at least, should suite any ANKC Ltd Herding breed. Although Herding Trials are artificial simulations, they are standardised tests to measure and develop the characteristics of the Herding breeds.

#### (WA) Rationale 1.1.2

To better describe the intent of the trial program.

- 1.1.3 Dogs MUST have herding training and prior exposure to the appropriate livestock before entering in a Test and/or Trial.
- 1.1.4 A dog is not required to work in a particular breed style according to its breed.
- 1.1.5 A dog may compete on which ever course the handler chooses.
- 1.1.6 Herding Tests and Trials are sports and all participants should be guided by the principles of good sportsmanship both in and outside of the Test and Trial arenas.

## (WA) Propose New Rule 1.1.7

1.1.7 Where interpretation or clarification of any rules is required, then a letter or email can be sent through the member body to the ANKC Ltd for consultation with the national ANKC Ltd herding committee. Once a definition has been created, it shall then be circulated to all herding committees Australia wide.

#### (WA) Rationale 1.1.7

Currently there is no clause stating what actions are required when a club or members of a club have questions about the rules. This new clause states what needs to be done.

**EXAMPLE 2:** ANKC Ltd produce a document showing all suggested changes including an area to record comments as described in Step 6.

1.4.3 An Affiliate Member may be approved to hold a Herding Test and Trial on the same day provided that the total number of livestock available meets the requirements for both events. If the anticipated combined entry numbers more than forty (40) dogs, the Affiliate Member must provide a separate Judge for the Test and Trial.

#### (TA) Proposed New Rule 1.4.4

1.4.4 An affiliate member may be approved to <u>conduct multiple trials on the same day provided</u> that the rules 2.10.1 - 2.10.16 are adhered to.

	r HIT and RHIT to be awarded under each judge for all stock type ne welfare of the animals at trials is excellent.	S
Comments		

#### Section 5. Veterinarian.

1.5.1 Every Affiliate Member holding herding events shall arrange to have one or more veterinarians in attendance or on call throughout the duration of the event. If the veterinarian(s) are "on call", the Affiliate Member must ensure that veterinary assistance will be available within a reasonable time should it be needed.

#### Section 6. Ribbons and Rosettes etc.

1.6.1 If more than one type of stock is used, High in Trial and Reserve are awarded for each kind of stock.

(NSW) Proposed changes (Also see 1.4.2 & 1.6.2 & 4.2.2) Remove 1.6.1

1.6.1 If more than one type of stock is used, High in Trial and Reserve are awarded for each kind of stock.

#### (NSW) Rationale 1.6.1

- (i) The mandatory awarding of High in Trial over three different difficulty levels of 3 different courses often being judged by 3 different judges is inequitable. The courses are not directly comparable, nor is the level of skill required at each level. This has caused ill feeling amongst exhibitors
- (ii) Putting emphasis on personal achievement at each level and each course is more in keeping with the whole aim of ANKC Ltd Herding.

Comments	 	 	 	

on

**EXAMPLE 3**: Each state would then record the vote and add comments to be raised by their representative at the face to face meeting as described in Step 8.

1.12.3 The Judge must also remove any dog which after a period of 5 minutes fails to show productive work or is unable to move stock forward on the course of a Test or Trial.

#### (WA) Proposed Changes 1.12.3

1.12.3 The Judge must also remove any dog which after a period of <u>3</u> minutes fails to show productive work or is unable to move stock forward on the course of a Test or Trial.

#### (WA) Rationale 1.12.3

1.12.3 Five minutes is too long for stock to suffer overworking.

Comments: .No .Unless modified to read 3-5min and at the judges discretion	

- 1.12.4 The Judge must order the removal and disqualification from all Competition on the day any dog which wilfully, aggressively or viciously attacks livestock, and must lodge a report with the Controlling Body in accordance with their rules.
- 1.12.5 A dog that grips abusively without purpose shall be immediately excused. The Judge shall complete and sign an ANKC Ltd excusal form (attached) that must be lodged with the Controlling Body within 3 days. Any excusal by a Judge will be recorded on the ANKC Ltd Computer system.

#### (Qld) Proposed Change 1.12.5

A dog that grips abusively shall be immediately excused. The Judge shall complete and sign an ANKC Ltd excusal form (attached) that must be lodged with the Controlling Body within 3 days. Any excusal by a Judge will be recorded on the ANKC Ltd Computer system.

If a dog is excused, the judge must notify the exhibitor of the excusal, notify the stock owner and inspect the stock for damage.

#### (Qld) Rationale 1.12.5

The consequences of an excusal must be told to the exhibitor. This has not been happening. It is also courteous to inform the stock owner. If a judge excuses a dog for an unacceptable grip, it would make sense that the stock may have been injured. Therefore inspection of the stock AT THE TIME of the incident MUST take place. The stock owner MUST be informed. Without purpose removed as it can't be quantified.

Comments	Yes but change to	'any dog that gri	ps shall be imme	ediately excused."	To comply
with the no grippin	g policy				

# (WA) Proposed Change 1.12.5

1.12.5 A dog that grips abusively without purpose shall be immediately excused. The exhibitor of the excused dog shall be notified immediately of the excusal and the report to be made. The Judge shall complete and sign an ANKC Ltd excusal form (attached) that must be lodged with the Controlling Body within 3 days. Any excusal by a Judge will be recorded on the ANKC Ltd Computer system.

(WA	) Rat	iona	le 1.1	12.5
-----	-------	------	--------	------

1.12.5 There have been occasions where handlers have been unaware of their dog had been excused and reported.

Comments	.No

**EXAMPLE 4:** Showing how the ANKC Ltd record of the face to face meeting would be recorded as described in Step 9.

2.11.3 Obstacles for sheep and cattle should be constructed of slatted wood, pipe panels or equivalent Obstacle panels for ducks should be approximately 1.2 metres long and 0.6 metres high and may be reinforced with wire fencing to keep the ducks from escaping.

# (VIC) Proposed Change 2.11.3

2.11.3 Obstacles for sheep and cattle should be constructed of slatted wood, pipe panels or equivalent. Obstacle panels for <u>sheep should be approximately 1.2 metres at height. Obstacle panels for cattle should be approximately 1.3 metres at height. Obstacle panels for ducks should be approximately 1.2 metres long and 0.6 metres at height, and may be reinforced with wire fencing to keep the ducks from escaping.</u>

#### (VIC) Rationale 2.11.3

The approximate obstacle height for sheep and cattle was omitted in rules review 2008, and this proposed height conforms with arena fencing..

Comments	
For: WA , VIC, SA	
Against: QLD	
Abstain: NSW	
Carried	

# (13) CHAPTER 3 HERDING TESTS

#### Section 1. Scoring, Announcements of scores

- 3.1.1 Herding Tests shall be judged on a pass or fail basis. Judges may start judging Herding Tests from inside or outside the arena, and may leave the arena or stay in the arena at their own discretion.
- 3.1.2 Only the dog, the Judge, the stock and the handler are permitted in the Test arena.

#### (Qld) Proposed New Rule 3.1.3

3.1.3 Clubs may or may not choose to offer HT/PT boundary style. The schedule must state if HT/PT boundary will or will not be offered.

#### (Qld) Rationale 3.1.3

Clubs may not be prepared if they were to receive an entry for HIC / PT boundary style. The proposed change allows clubs the option as to which style PT test they wish to offer. If this type of PT test is limited, it must be stated in the schedule.

Comments:
For: QLD, SA, .NSW, VIC., TAS
Against: WA
Carried